

**Item Statistics:**

	Questions1	Questions2	Questions3	Questions4	Questions5	Questions6	Questions7
Sample Size	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.27	1.27	1.64	1.64	1.64	1.55	1.64
Variance	0.22	0.22	0.85	0.45	0.45	0.47	0.45
Standard Deviation	0.47	0.47	0.92	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.67
Standard Error	0.14	0.14	0.28	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.20
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00
Range	1.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Sum	14.00	14.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	17.00	18.00
Sum of Squares	20.00	20.00	38.00	34.00	34.00	31.00	34.00
Skewness	1.19	1.19	1.83	0.59	0.59	0.93	0.59
Kurtosis	-0.76	-0.76	3.93	-0.29	-0.29	0.08	-0.29
T Value	9.04	9.04	5.87	8.05	8.05	7.45	8.05
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.40	0.40	0.69	0.58	0.58	0.60	0.58
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.83	0.83	0.75	0.99	0.99	0.89	0.99
Con. Interval (5%)	0.96	0.96	1.02	1.18	1.18	1.08	1.18
Con. Interval (95%)	1.59	1.59	2.26	2.09	2.09	2.01	2.09
Con. Interval (99%)	1.72	1.72	2.52	2.28	2.28	2.20	2.28

# SOMMERS-WILLET - ENVVR200 - SUMMER 2010

	Questions8	Questions9	Questions10	Questions11	Questions12	Questions13	Questions14
Sample Size	11	11	11	11	11	8	11
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.36	1.18	1.55	1.45	1.55	2.38	1.64
Variance	0.25	0.16	0.87	0.27	0.67	0.84	0.65
Standard Deviation	0.50	0.40	0.93	0.52	0.82	0.92	0.81
Standard Error	0.15	0.12	0.28	0.16	0.25	0.32	0.24
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00
Range	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Sum	15.00	13.00	17.00	16.00	17.00	19.00	18.00
Sum of Squares	23.00	17.00	35.00	26.00	33.00	51.00	36.00
Skewness	0.66	1.92	2.09	0.21	1.15	-1.00	0.85
Kurtosis	-1.96	2.04	4.75	-2.44	-0.25	-1.04	-0.76
T Value	8.96	9.69	5.49	9.24	6.25	7.33	6.71
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.46	0.30	0.69	0.50	0.69	0.78	0.69
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.88	0.80	0.65	0.96	0.76	1.24	0.86
Con. Interval (5%)	1.02	0.91	0.92	1.10	0.99	1.61	1.09
Con. Interval (95%)	1.70	1.45	2.17	1.81	2.10	3.14	2.18
Con. Interval (99%)	1.85	1.57	2.44	1.95	2.33	3.51	2.41

# SOMMERS-WILLET - ENV200 - SUMMER 2010


	Questions15	Questions16	Questions17	Questions18	Questions19	Questions20	Questions21
Sample Size	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.64	1.36	1.36	1.18	1.64	1.64	1.27
Variance	0.65	0.25	0.45	0.16	1.45	0.45	0.22
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.50	0.67	0.40	1.21	0.67	0.47
Standard Error	0.24	0.15	0.20	0.12	0.36	0.20	0.14
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	5.00	3.00	2.00
Median	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00
Range	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	1.00
Sum	18.00	15.00	15.00	13.00	18.00	18.00	14.00
Sum of Squares	36.00	23.00	25.00	17.00	44.00	34.00	20.00
Skewness	0.85	0.66	1.80	1.92	2.54	0.59	1.19
Kurtosis	-0.76	-1.96	2.61	2.04	7.03	-0.29	-0.76
T Value	6.71	8.96	6.71	9.69	4.50	8.05	9.04
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.69	0.46	0.53	0.30	0.81	0.58	0.40
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.86	0.88	0.72	0.80	0.48	0.99	0.83
Con. Interval (5%)	1.09	1.02	0.91	0.91	0.83	1.18	0.96
Con. Interval (95%)	2.18	1.70	1.82	1.45	2.45	2.09	1.59
Con. Interval (99%)	2.41	1.85	2.01	1.57	2.79	2.28	1.72

# SOMMERS-WILLET - ENWR200 - SUMMER 2010

	Questions22	Questions23	class rank	missed classes	expected grade
Sample Size	11	11	11	6	9
Number Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean	1.55	1.45	3.27	1.00	1.78
Variance	0.67	0.47	1.02	0.00	0.44
Standard Deviation	0.82	0.69	1.01	0.00	0.67
Standard Error	0.25	0.21	0.30	0.00	0.22
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Maximum	3.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	3.00
Median	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00
Range	2.00	2.00	3.00	0.00	2.00
Sum	17.00	16.00	36.00	6.00	16.00
Sum of Squares	33.00	28.00	128.00	6.00	32.00
Skewness	1.15	1.32	-1.37	-	0.25
Kurtosis	-0.25	0.98	1.32	-	-0.04
T Value	6.25	7.02	10.76	-	8.00
Mean Abs. Dev.	0.69	0.58	0.79	0.00	0.52
Percentile (25)	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.00
Percentile (50)	1.00	1.00	4.00	1.00	2.00
Percentile (75)	2.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	2.00
Inter Qrt. Range	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
Con. Interval (1%)	0.76	0.80	2.31	1.00	1.03
Con. Interval (5%)	0.99	0.99	2.59	1.00	1.27
Con. Interval (95%)	2.10	1.92	3.95	1.00	2.29
Con. Interval (99%)	2.33	2.11	4.24	1.00	2.52


**Item Analysis: The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject of this course.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	8	72.73
Agree	2	3	27.27
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor was always well prepared and organized for class.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	8	72.73
Agree	2	3	27.27
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor gave clear and reasonable course objectives and requirements.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	54.55
Agree	2	4	36.36
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	1	9.09
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor required a manageable amount of course work.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	45.45
Agree	2	5	45.45
Neutral	3	1	9.09
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor successfully clarified and explained difficult materials and topics.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	45.45
Agree	2	5	45.45
Neutral	3	1	9.09
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The insructor seemed to know students did not understand something.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	54.55
Agree	2	4	36.36
Neutral	3	1	9.09
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor gave me helpful feedback on my work.**


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	5	45.45
Agree	2	5	45.45
Neutral	3	1	9.09
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00

**Item Analysis: The instructor was available outside of class to speak with me.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	7	63.64
Agree	2	4	36.36
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00


**Item Analysis: The instructor created an atmosphere of mutual respect conducive to learning.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	9	81.82
Agree	2	2	18.18
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor helped me integrate what I learned to my experiences outside class.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	7	63.64
Agree	2	3	27.27
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	1	9.09
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: The instructor returned written work promptly.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	54.55
Agree	2	5	45.45
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00



**Item Analysis: Tests and assignments reflected what was taught.**


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	7	63.64
Agree	2	2	18.18
Neutral	3	2	18.18
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00






**Item Analysis: Tests and assignments were graded fairly.**


Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	2	18.18
Agree	2	1	9.09
Neutral	3	5	45.45
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		8	100.00

**Item Analysis: I, the student became enthusiastic as a result of my instructors presentation.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	54.55
Agree	2	3	27.27
Neutral	3	2	18.18
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00


**Item Analysis: I, the student was motivated to work hard by what we did in class.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	6	54.55
Agree	2	3	27.27
Neutral	3	2	18.18
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: I, the student did not have trouble understanding when my instructor spoke.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	7	63.64
Agree	2	4	36.36
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00




**Item Analysis: I, the student was encouraged to think critically about what we were studying.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	8	72.73
Agree	2	2	18.18
Neutral	3	1	9.09
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00



**Item Analysis: I, the student felt comfortable asking questions in class.**

Label	Value	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	1	9	81.82
Agree	2	2	18.18
Neutral	3	0	0.00
Disagree	4	0	0.00
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.00
Total Valid		11	100.00



Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Well taught in 3 weeks.  
enjoyed it alot.



Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

she was excellent.

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

I loved it!

Please describe below what you think about your instructor's overall ability to teach the particular subject and the method of instruction (lecture, discussion, debate, practice exercises, etc.) which was used. This is also an opportunity for you to comment on such things as your instructor's use of textbooks and other written materials, blackboard, overhead projector, films or video and audio tapes.

Suggestions for improvement are also welcome.

Instructor was enthusiastic about topic. I think her expectations for beginning writers is high and a bit unrealistic. Overall the class was fun and informative.